Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,434	BERGER, SETH DAVID
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2859
All Participants:	cipants: Status of Application: After first Office Action	
(1) Tiffany A. Fetzner (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Seth David Berger (Pro-Se Applicant).	(4)	
Date of interview: 23 August 2005	Time: <u>1:50pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		•
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a writt	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	int/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
	=	() () () () () ()

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Pro-Se Inventor Seth David Berger on August 23rd 2005 in order to determine the status of the instant application, and to render assistance to Pro-Se inventor Seth David Berger, if necessary on how to file a response, to the office action of February 23rd 2005, since August 23rd 2005 is the last day a response may be timely filed. The inventor informed the examiner that he did not desire to file a response because he no longer wished to pursue the application, due to time and monatary constraints. The examiner informed him that a Notice of ABANDONMENT would be sent out on August 24th 2005. The inventor thanked the examiner for her time, and her conscienceciousness in following up with applicant thrioughout the examination process, and on the last day of the time period for reply, since applicant did not have an attorney.